



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 1	1,493	4.62%	303	36.63%
Lake	1,493	4.62%	303	36.63%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 1 Percent Removal



Lake County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 2	1,032	37.02%	230	21.30%
Jasper	61	34.43%	15	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 2 Percent Removal



Jasper County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 2	1,032	37.02%	230	21.30%
Laporte	410	25.61%	59	32.20%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 2 Percent Removal



Laporte County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 2	1,032	37.02%	230	21.30%
Newton	53	20.75%	16	37.50%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 2 Percent Removal



Newton County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 2	1,032	37.02%	230	21.30%
Porter	291	38.14%	115	12.17%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 2 Percent Removal



Porter County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 2	1,032	37.02%	230	21.30%
Pulaski	35	25.71%	11	36.36%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 2 Percent Removal



Pulaski County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 2	1,032	37.02%	230	21.30%
Starke	182	68.68%	14	42.86%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 2 Percent Removal



Starke County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 3	1,698	13.55%	585	24.62%
Elkhart	541	11.46%	173	33.53%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 3 Percent Removal



Elkhart County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 3	1,698	13.55%	585	24.62%
Kosciusko	193	16.58%	60	11.67%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 3 Percent Removal



Kosciusko County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 3	1,698	13.55%	585	24.62%
Marshall	73	23.29%	19	21.05%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 3 Percent Removal



Marshall County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 3	1,698	13.55%	585	24.62%
Saint Joseph	891	13.36%	333	22.52%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 3 Percent Removal



Saint Joseph County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 4	1,880	12.66%	604	26.99%
Adams	63	19.05%	18	38.89%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 4 Percent Removal



Adams County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 4	1,880	12.66%	604	26.99%
Allen	1,027	16.36%	265	35.85%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 4 Percent Removal



Allen County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 4	1,880	12.66%	604	26.99%
Dekalb	202	3.47%	117	23.93%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 4 Percent Removal



Dekalb County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 4	1,880	12.66%	604	26.99%
Huntington	149	17.45%	60	6.67%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 4 Percent Removal



Huntington County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 4	1,880	12.66%	604	26.99%
LaGrange	92	4.35%	35	34.29%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 4 Percent Removal



LaGrange County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 4	1,880	12.66%	604	26.99%
Noble	93	18.28%	15	46.67%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 4 Percent Removal



Noble County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 4	1,880	12.66%	604	26.99%
Steuben	145	0.69%	51	13.73%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 4 Percent Removal



Steuben County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 4	1,880	12.66%	604	26.99%
Wells	75	4.00%	30	6.67%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 4 Percent Removal



Wells County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 4	1,880	12.66%	604	26.99%
Whitley	34	0.00%	13	7.69%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 4 Percent Removal



Whitley County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 5	1,076	18.40%	246	31.30%
Benton	48	14.58%	8	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Benton County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 5	1,076	18.40%	246	31.30%
Carroll	57	17.54%	9	11.11%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Carroll County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 5	1,076	18.40%	246	31.30%
Clinton	140	24.29%	38	21.05%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Clinton County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 5	1,076	18.40%	246	31.30%
Fountain	66	15.15%	18	27.78%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Fountain County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 5	1,076	18.40%	246	31.30%
Tippecanoe	627	16.59%	156	37.18%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Tippecanoe County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 5	1,076	18.40%	246	31.30%
Warren	29	10.34%	3	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Warren County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 5	1,076	18.40%	246	31.30%
White	109	27.52%	14	35.71%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



White County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 6	689	21.48%	197	21.32%
Cass	150	52.67%	40	15.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 6 Percent Removal



Cass County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 6	689	21.48%	197	21.32%
Fulton	82	18.29%	14	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 6 Percent Removal



Fulton County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 6	689	21.48%	197	21.32%
Howard	255	11.37%	81	24.69%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 6 Percent Removal



Howard County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 6	689	21.48%	197	21.32%
Miami	134	7.46%	40	32.50%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 6 Percent Removal



Miami County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 6	689	21.48%	197	21.32%
Wabash	68	22.06%	22	13.64%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 6 Percent Removal



Wabash County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 7	926	22.89%	220	34.55%
Blackford	55	14.55%	8	50.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 7 Percent Removal



Blackford County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 7	926	22.89%	220	34.55%
Delaware	484	28.93%	131	36.64%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 7 Percent Removal



Delaware County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 7	926	22.89%	220	34.55%
Grant	216	9.72%	42	35.71%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 7 Percent Removal



Grant County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 7	926	22.89%	220	34.55%
Jay	85	30.59%	18	5.56%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 7 Percent Removal



Jay County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 7	926	22.89%	220	34.55%
Randolph	86	19.77%	21	38.10%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 7 Percent Removal



Randolph County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 8	578	13.15%	168	38.69%
Clay	97	43.30%	10	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Clay County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 8	578	13.15%	168	38.69%
Parke	30	16.67%	5	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Parke County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 8	578	13.15%	168	38.69%
Sullivan	52	21.15%	30	30.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Sullivan County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 8	578	13.15%	168	38.69%
Vermillion	22	9.09%	12	66.67%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Vermillion County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 8	578	13.15%	168	38.69%
Vigo	377	4.24%	111	43.24%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Vigo County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 9	992	32.46%	282	30.14%
Boone	119	44.54%	76	40.79%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 9 Percent Removal



Boone County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 9	992	32.46%	282	30.14%
Hendricks	262	28.24%	68	36.76%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 9 Percent Removal



Hendricks County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 9	992	32.46%	282	30.14%
Montgomery	174	29.89%	69	21.74%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 9 Percent Removal



Montgomery County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 9	992	32.46%	282	30.14%
Morgan	299	38.80%	39	23.08%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 9 Percent Removal



Morgan County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 9	992	32.46%	282	30.14%
Putnam	138	19.57%	30	16.67%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 9 Percent Removal



Putnam County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 10	4,007	2.82%	1,491	33.40%
Marion	4,007	2.82%	1,491	33.40%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 10 Percent Removal



Marion County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 11	1,139	18.53%	402	25.62%
Hamilton	375	26.13%	143	23.08%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 11 Percent Removal



Hamilton County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 11	1,139	18.53%	402	25.62%
Hancock	157	19.75%	38	21.05%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 11 Percent Removal



Hancock County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 11	1,139	18.53%	402	25.62%
Madison	561	11.94%	204	29.90%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 11 Percent Removal



Madison County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 11	1,139	18.53%	402	25.62%
Tipton	46	32.61%	17	5.88%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 11 Percent Removal



Tipton County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 12	1,029	12.34%	339	28.91%
Fayette	156	9.62%	32	25.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 12 Percent Removal



Fayette County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 12	1,029	12.34%	339	28.91%
Franklin	79	3.80%	14	14.29%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 12 Percent Removal



Franklin County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 12	1,029	12.34%	339	28.91%
Henry	229	8.30%	77	36.36%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 12 Percent Removal



Henry County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 12	1,029	12.34%	339	28.91%
Rush	91	3.30%	60	35.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 12 Percent Removal



Rush County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 12	1,029	12.34%	339	28.91%
Union	31	3.23%	16	12.50%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 12 Percent Removal



Union County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 12	1,029	12.34%	339	28.91%
Wayne	443	19.41%	140	26.43%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 12 Percent Removal



Wayne County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 13	919	19.48%	247	15.79%
Brown	51	33.33%	18	66.67%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 13 Percent Removal



Brown County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 13	919	19.48%	247	15.79%
Greene	144	23.61%	47	21.28%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 13 Percent Removal



Greene County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 13	919	19.48%	247	15.79%
Lawrence	171	19.30%	53	3.77%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 13 Percent Removal



Lawrence County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 13	919	19.48%	247	15.79%
Monroe	423	12.53%	100	9.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 13 Percent Removal



Monroe County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 13	919	19.48%	247	15.79%
Owen	130	32.31%	29	20.69%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 13 Percent Removal



Owen County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 14	1,187	8.68%	305	25.57%
Bartholomew	265	7.55%	80	26.25%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 14 Percent Removal



Bartholomew County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 14	1,187	8.68%	305	25.57%
Jackson	188	5.32%	76	25.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 14 Percent Removal



Jackson County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 14	1,187	8.68%	305	25.57%
Jennings	147	0.00%	38	26.32%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 14 Percent Removal



Jennings County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 14	1,187	8.68%	305	25.57%
Johnson	416	14.18%	54	40.74%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 14 Percent Removal



Johnson County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 14	1,187	8.68%	305	25.57%
Shelby	171	8.19%	57	10.53%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 14 Percent Removal



Shelby County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 15	689	8.56%	256	21.48%
Dearborn	174	13.22%	85	31.76%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 15 Percent Removal



Dearborn County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 15	689	8.56%	256	21.48%
Decatur	138	9.42%	66	18.18%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 15 Percent Removal



Decatur County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 15	689	8.56%	256	21.48%
Jefferson	178	9.55%	38	15.79%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 15 Percent Removal



Jefferson County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 15	689	8.56%	256	21.48%
Ohio	24	4.17%	5	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 15 Percent Removal



Ohio County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 15	689	8.56%	256	21.48%
Ripley	130	3.08%	42	19.05%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 15 Percent Removal



Ripley County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 15	689	8.56%	256	21.48%
Switzerland	45	2.22%	20	10.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 15 Percent Removal



Switzerland County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 16	1,188	9.26%	437	32.49%
Gibson	147	5.44%	86	45.35%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 16 Percent Removal



Gibson County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 16	1,188	9.26%	437	32.49%
Knox	194	8.25%	95	13.68%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 16 Percent Removal



Knox County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 16	1,188	9.26%	437	32.49%
Pike	95	24.21%	22	27.27%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 16 Percent Removal



Pike County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 16	1,188	9.26%	437	32.49%
Posey	87	5.75%	29	24.14%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 16 Percent Removal



Posey County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 16	1,188	9.26%	437	32.49%
Vanderburgh	537	4.47%	173	40.46%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 16 Percent Removal



Vanderburgh County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 16	1,188	9.26%	437	32.49%
Warrick	128	26.56%	32	21.88%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 16 Percent Removal



Warrick County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 17	494	23.08%	176	28.41%
Crawford	57	21.05%	17	29.41%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 17 Percent Removal



Crawford County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 17	494	23.08%	176	28.41%
Daviess	107	27.10%	36	36.11%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 17 Percent Removal



Daviess County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 17	494	23.08%	176	28.41%
Dubois	77	24.68%	27	14.81%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 17 Percent Removal



Dubois County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 17	494	23.08%	176	28.41%
Martin	31	12.90%	16	6.25%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 17 Percent Removal



Martin County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 17	494	23.08%	176	28.41%
Orange	82	10.98%	19	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 17 Percent Removal



Orange County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 17	494	23.08%	176	28.41%
Perry	73	30.14%	40	65.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 17 Percent Removal



Perry County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 17	494	23.08%	176	28.41%
Spencer	67	28.36%	21	4.76%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 17 Percent Removal



Spencer County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 18	1,142	14.45%	403	27.79%
Clark	458	11.79%	188	28.72%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 18 Percent Removal



Clark County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 18	1,142	14.45%	403	27.79%
Floyd	247	20.65%	56	28.57%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 18 Percent Removal



Floyd County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 18	1,142	14.45%	403	27.79%
Harrison	135	22.22%	33	36.36%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 18 Percent Removal



Harrison County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 18	1,142	14.45%	403	27.79%
Scott	214	8.88%	105	22.86%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 18 Percent Removal



Scott County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for May 2007 to May 2008


March 2008 to May 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Mar 2008 to May 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	22,158	13.79%	6,891	28.83%
Region 18	1,142	14.45%	403	27.79%
Washington	88	12.50%	21	28.57%

Statewide Percent Removal



Region 18 Percent Removal



Washington County Percent Removal

